arXiv:1510.08778v1 [physics.plasm-ph] 28 Oct 2015

Some Aspects of Statistical Thermodynamics of a Magnetized Fermi Gas

N.L.Tsintsadze, K.I.Sigua and L.N.Tsintsadze
Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences and Andronikashvili Institute of Physics, Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi 0128, Georgia
(Dated: October 30, 2015)

We show that at the Landau ground state a Fermi gas remains precisely a three-dimensional for an arbitrary magnetic field in radical contrast to the previous claims that the perpendicular component of the pressure of a Fermi gas vanishes at the Landau ground state and therefore, it becomes strictly a one-dimensional gas.

Our understanding of the thermodynamics of a Fermi quantum plasma, which is of great interest due to its important applications in astrophysics [1]-[7] and modern technology [8,9], has recently undergone some appreciable theoretical progress. Answers to some salient questions are given in Refs. [10-12] with a new type of quantum kinetic equations of the Fermi particles of various species and a general set of fluid equations. Having the kinetic equation for the Fermi electron gas a quantum dispersion equation was derived in the above papers and the propagation of small longitudinal perturbations were investigated. Later the dispersion properties of linear oscillations of quantum electron-ion [13-14] and electron-positron-ion [15,16] plasmas, as well as of neutral He^3[17] have been studied. Whereas the quantum nonlinear ion acoustic waves (KdV -equation) were investigated in Ref. [14]. The effects of the quantization of the orbital motion of electrons and the spin of electrons on the propagation of longitudinal waves, as well as the effect of trapping in a degenerate plasma in the presence of quantizing magnetic field have been reported recently [18,19,20]. It should be emphasized that a novel dispersion relation of a longitudinal wave propagating along a magnetic field, derived in Ref.[18], exhibits the strong dependence on the magnetic field in radical contrast to the classical case.

The influence of strong magnetic field on the thermodynamic properties of medium is an important issue in supernovae and neutron stars, the convective zone of the sun, the early prestellar period of evolution of the universe. A wide range of new phenomena arise from the magnetic field in the Fermi gas, such as the change of shape of the Fermi sphere, thermodynamics, de Haas - van Alphen [21] and Shubnikov - de Hass [22] effects. Quite recently an adiabatic magnetization process has been proposed in Ref.[23] for cooling the Fermi electron gas to ultra-low temperatures.

It should be noted that the diamagnetic effect has a purely quantum nature and in the classical electron gas it is absent, because in a magnetic field the Lorentz's force $\frac{e}{c}\overrightarrow{v}\times\overrightarrow{H}$ acts on a particle in the perpendicular direction to a velocity $\overrightarrow{v}$, so that it cannot produce work on the particle. Hence, its energy does not depend on the magnetic field. However, as was shown by Landau, the situation radically changes in the quantum mechanical theory of magnetism. The point is that in a constant magnetic field the electrons, under the action of it, rotate in circular orbits in a plane perpendicular to the field $\overrightarrow{H}_0(0,0,H_0)$. Therefore, the motion of the electrons can be resolved into two parts: one along the field, in which the longitudinal component of energy is not quantized, $E_\parallel = p_\parallel^2/2m_e$ and the second, quantized [24,25], in a plane perpendicular to $\overrightarrow{H}_0$ (the transverse component). Thus, in the non-relativistic case the net energy of electron in a magnetic field without taking into account its spin is $E(p_\parallel, l) = p_\parallel^2/2m_e + \hbar\omega_{ce}(l+\frac{1}{2})$, where m_e is the electron rest mass and $\omega_{ce} = \frac{|e|H_0}{m_e c}$ is the cyclotron frequency of the electron.

If a particle has a spin, the intrinsic magnetic moment of the particle interacts directly with the magnetic field. The correct expression for the energy is obtained by adding an extra term $\overrightarrow{\mu}\overrightarrow{H}_0$, corresponding to the energy of the magnetic moment $\overrightarrow{\mu}$ in the field $\overrightarrow{H}_0$. Hence, the electron energy levels $\varepsilon_e^{l,\delta}$ are determined in the non-relativistic limit by the expression

$$\varepsilon_e^{l,\delta} = \frac{p_\parallel^2}{2m_e} + (2l+1+\delta)\mu_B, \tag{1}$$

where l is the orbital quantum number ($l = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...$), δ is the operator the z component of which describes the spin orientation $\overrightarrow{s} = \frac{1}{2}\overrightarrow{\delta}\,(\delta = \pm 1)$ and $\mu_B = \frac{|e|\hbar}{2m_e c}$ is the Bohr magneton.

From the expression (1) one sees that the energy spectrum of electrons consist of the lowest Landau level $l = 0$, $\delta = -1$ and pairs of degenerate levels with opposite polarization $\delta = 1$. Thus each value with $l \neq 0$ occurs twice, and that with $l = 0$ once. Therefore, in the non-relativistic limit $\varepsilon_e^{l,\delta}$ can be rewritten as

$$\varepsilon_e^{l,\delta} = \varepsilon_e^{l} = \frac{p_\parallel^2}{2m_e} + \hbar\omega_{ce} l, \tag{2}$$

where $\hbar$ is the Planck constant divided by 2π.

In a series of papers [2-4] Canuto and Chiu considered the thermodynamic properties of a magnetized Fermi gas and showed that the pressure is different in the perpendicular and parallel to the magnetic field directions. We note here that this anisotropy is directly associated with the quantization of energy levels by the presence of a magnetic field. Canuto and Chiu argued that the perpendicular component of the pressure $P_\perp$ becomes zero at $l = 0$. Thus, in their case there is no lateral pressure and one deals with a physical picture of an one-dimensional gas.

In this letter, we show that the statement of Canuto and Chiu is false. Namely, at the Landau ground state, i.e. $l = 0$, the perpendicular component of the pressure $P_\perp$ is not zero. In other words we will demonstrate that a three-dimensional gases remain three-dimensional even at $l = 0$. To this end, we use the anisotropic distribution function derived by Kelly [26], also independently by Zilberman [27]. Kelly's result for the Fermi-Dirac Statistics is the following

$$f^k_\alpha(\overrightarrow{p}_\perp, p_\parallel) = \frac{e^{-w^2_\alpha}}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} 2 \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l L_l(2w^2_\alpha)}{\exp(\frac{\varepsilon^l_\alpha - \mu_\alpha}{T_\alpha}) + 1}, \tag{3}$$

where suffix α stands for the particle species, $w^2_\alpha = \frac{p^2_\perp}{m_\alpha \hbar \omega_{c\alpha}} = \frac{p^2_x + p^2_y}{m_\alpha \hbar \omega_{c\alpha}}$, $L_l(x)$ is the Laguerre polynomials of order l [28], for which exist such condition $2(-1)^l \int e^{-w^2} L_l(2w^2) w dw = 1$, μ_α is the chemical potential determined by the normalization condition

$$n_\alpha = 2 \int d\overrightarrow{p} \;\; f^k_\alpha(\overrightarrow{p}_\perp, p_\parallel). \tag{4}$$

Here the factor 2 is on account of the particle spin.

First, we consider the lowest Landau level, $l = 0, \delta = -1$ (see Eq.(1)). In this case the Kelly's distribution function is

$$f^k_\alpha(\overrightarrow{p}_\perp, p_\parallel) = \frac{2e^{-w^2_\alpha}}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \frac{1}{\exp(\frac{p^2_\parallel/2m_\alpha - \mu_\alpha}{T_\alpha}) + 1}. \tag{5}$$

At $T = 0$, the Kelly's distribution function (5) reads

$$f^k_\alpha(\overrightarrow{p}_\perp, p_\parallel) = \frac{2e^{-w^2_\alpha}}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} H\left(\mu_\alpha - p^2_\parallel/2m_\alpha\right), \tag{6}$$

where $H(x)$ is the Heaviside step function and $\mu_\alpha = \frac{p^2_F}{2m_\alpha}$. Substituting distribution function (6) into Eq.(4) we obtain the expression of density

$$n_\alpha = \frac{m_\alpha \hbar \omega_{c\alpha} p_F}{\pi^2 \hbar^3}, \tag{7}$$

which is true for the Lowest Landau level ($l = 0$), i.e. this expression is associated with the Pauli paramagnetism and self-energy of particles. If we suppose that the density of electrons is constant, then from Eq.(7) follows an important statement, namely, that the Fermi momentum decreases along with the increase of a magnetic field. So that a pancake configuration of the Fermi energy thins.

We now derive the perpendicular component of the pressure using the distribution function (6) for electrons

$$P_{\perp e} = \frac{2}{3} \; 2 \int d\overrightarrow{p} \frac{(p^2_x + p^2_y)}{m_e} \; f^k_e(\overrightarrow{p}_\perp, p_\parallel). \tag{8}$$

After a simple integration of Eq.(8), we obtain

$$P_{\perp e} = \frac{2}{3} \hbar \omega_{ce} \; n_e, \tag{9}$$

where n_e is the density of electrons defined by Eq.(7).

At the temperatures lower than the degeneracy temperature, $T_F = \gamma \left(\frac{n}{H_0}\right)^2$ (where $\gamma = \frac{\pi^4 \hbar^4 c^2}{2m \cdot e^2}$) [18], from Eq.(4) and Eq.(5) for the density of electrons follows such expressions

$$n_e = \frac{m_e \hbar \omega_{ce} \; P_F}{\pi^2 \hbar^3} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\pi^2}{24} \left(\frac{T}{T_F}\right)^2 \right\}. \tag{10}$$

In this case n_e in Eq.(9) is governed by Eq.(10).

Its obvious from Eq.(9) that at $l=0,\ P_\perp$ is not zero and therefore, the Canuto-Chiu statement is false.

Next, for the parallel component of the pressure, in the same case, i.e. $l=0$ and $T=0$, we obtain

$$P_{\parallel e}=\frac{1}{3}\cdot 2\int d\overrightarrow{p}\,\frac{p_\parallel^2}{m_e}f_e^k. \tag{11}$$

Use of Eq.(6) in Eq.(11) yields

$$P_{\parallel e}=\propto\left(\frac{n_e}{H}\right)^2 n_e, \tag{12}$$

where $\propto=\left(\pi^4\hbar^4c^2\right)/\left(9m_ee^2\right)$.

Finally, we calculate general expressions of the perpendicular and parallel components of the pressure. For this purpose, we employ the Kelly's distribution function (3) and derive the expression $P_{\perp e}=\frac{2}{3}\cdot 2\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\int d\overrightarrow{p}\,\frac{p_\perp^2}{m_e}f_e^k$ or

$$P_{\perp e}=\frac{1}{3}\,\frac{\left(m_e\hbar\omega_{ce}\right)^2}{\pi^2\hbar^3m_e}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\,2\int_0^\infty dw\cdot w^3e^{-w^3}L_l\left(2w^2\right)\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dP_\parallel}{\exp(\frac{\varepsilon_e-\mu}{T})+1}. \tag{13}$$

After integration over w, we get

$$P_{\perp e}=\frac{2}{3}\,\frac{\left(m_e\hbar\omega_{ce}\right)^2}{\pi^2\hbar^3m_e}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\,(2l+1)\int_0^\infty\frac{dP_\parallel}{\exp(\frac{\varepsilon_e-\mu}{T})+1}\ , \tag{14}$$

where ε_e is defined by Eq.(2). Clearly, at $l=0$ and $T=0$ from Eq. (14) follows the result (9).

In the temperature limit $T\ll\mu=\varepsilon_{Fe}$ and $\varepsilon_{Fe}\gg\hbar\omega_{ce}$, the spectrum is almost continuous, and a quasi classical approximation is applicable. Thus, in the limit $\varepsilon_{Fe}\gg\hbar\omega_{ce}$ the maximum quantum number $l_{\max}=\varepsilon_{Fe}/\hbar\omega_{ce}$ is very large and we can replace the summation in equations (4), (8) and (11) by an integration ($\sum_{l=1}^{l_{\max}}\to\int_1^{l_{\max}}dl$) to obtain expressions of the density, the perpendicular and parallel components of the electron pressures.

Introducing dimensionless parameters $\eta_0=\hbar\omega_{ce}/\varepsilon_{F_0}$ and $\gamma=\varepsilon_F/\varepsilon_{F_0}$, from Eqs. (4) and (14) we obtain

$$n_e=\frac{P_F^3\gamma^{-3/2}}{3\pi^2\hbar^3}\left\{\frac{3}{2}\eta_0\gamma^{1/2}+\left(\gamma-\eta_0\right)^{3/2}\right\} \tag{15}$$

and

$$\frac{P_{\perp e}}{P_{\perp 0}}=\frac{15}{8}\left\{\eta_0^2\gamma^{1/2}+\frac{2}{3}\eta_0\left(\gamma-\eta_0\right)^{3/2}+\frac{4}{15}\left(2\gamma+3\eta_0\right)\left(\gamma-\eta_0\right)^{3/2}\right\}+\frac{15}{16}\pi^2\left\{\left(\frac{T}{\varepsilon_{F_0}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\gamma^2}+\frac{2}{\gamma^{5/2}}\sqrt{\gamma-\eta_0}\right)\right\}, \tag{16}$$

where ε_{F_0} is the Fermi energy at $T=0$ and $\eta_0=0$, $P_{\perp 0}=\frac{2}{3}\frac{\left(3\pi^2\right)^{2/3}\hbar^2n_{e0}^{5/3}}{5m_e}$ is the perpendicular component of the pressure in the absence of the magnetic field $\eta_0=0$ and at $T=0$.

In order to explicitly express $P_\perp/P_{\perp 0}$ through the magnetic field $\overrightarrow{H}_0(0,0,H_0)$, it is necessary to establish the relation between η_0 and γ. To this end, we use the expression of the Fermi energy

$$\varepsilon_{Fe}=\frac{P_F^2}{2m_e}=\frac{\left(3\pi^2\right)^{2/3}\hbar^2n_e^{2/3}}{2m_e\left\{\frac{3}{2}\eta+\left(1-\eta\right)^{3/2}\right\}^{2/3}}. \tag{17}$$

Noting the relation $\eta=\eta_0/\gamma$ from Eq. (17), we get

$$\gamma=\frac{\varepsilon_{Fe}}{\varepsilon_{F_{0e}}}=\gamma\frac{\left(\frac{n_e}{n_{e0}}\right)^{2/3}}{\left\{3/2\eta_0\gamma^{1/2}+\left(\gamma-\eta_0\right)^{3/2}\right\}^{2/3}}. \tag{18}$$

If the density is constant $n_e=n_{e0}$, Eq.(18) reduces to

$$3/2\eta_0\gamma^{1/2}+\left(\gamma-\eta_0\right)^{3/2}=1. \tag{19}$$

Numerical investigation of Eq.(16) at $T=0$ along with Eq.(19) for various magnetic field shows that there are two distinct regimes of anisotropy of the pressure via magnetic fields. It can be seen from Figure 1, where normalized perpendicular component of the pressure as a function of a magnetic field is depicted, that the behavior of graph on the left and right sides of the critical point, i.e. $\hbar\omega_c = \varepsilon_F$ $(\eta_{00} = 0.7631428)$ are quite different. Namely, on the left side of the critical point the pressure $P_\perp$ increases along with the increase of the magnetic field and then decreases towards the critical point to $\frac{P_\perp}{P_{\perp 0}} = 0.9539$. Rather different process is observed on the right side of the critical point. Namely, the pressure linearly increases along with the increase of the magnetic field. We also note here that the critical point is displaced towards larger magnetic fields when density is increased.

We now derive the parallel component of the electron pressure $P_{\|e} = \frac{2}{3}\int d\overrightarrow{p}\ \frac{p_\|^2}{m_e} f_e^k$ in the same approximation as for the calculation of $P_{\perp e}$. The result is

$$\frac{P_{\|e}}{P_{\|0}} = \frac{5}{2}\gamma^{3/2}\eta_0 + (\gamma-\eta_0)^{5/2} + \frac{5}{16}\pi^2\left(\frac{T}{\varepsilon_{F_0}}\right)^2\left(\frac{\eta_0}{\gamma^{1/2}} + 2(\gamma-\eta_0)^{1/2}\right), \tag{20}$$

where $P_{\|0} = \frac{1}{3}\frac{\left(3\pi^2\right)^{2/3}\hbar^2 n_{e0}^{5/3}}{5m_e}$.

In Eqs.(16) and (20) the first terms are the contribution from the lowest Landau level $(l=0)$, i.e. these terms are associated with the Pauli paramagnetism and self-energy of particles. The other terms are the result of summation over all higher Landau levels.

We plot the normalized parallel component of the pressure against the magnetic field η_0 at T=0 on Figure 2, which shows that the pressure increases along the magnetic field, then decreases towards the critical point and after this point decreases as a square of the magnetic field. At the critical point $\eta_0 = \eta_{00}$, the pressure is $\frac{P_{\|e}}{P_{\|0}} = 1.27$.

It should be noted that Eqs.(16) and (20) with expression (19) describe the thermodynamic properties in the non-relativistic limit with arbitrary magnetic fields.

Neglecting the temperature correction and in the absence of the magnetic field $\eta_0 = 0$, the sum $P_{\perp e} + P_{\|e}$ reduces to the well known expression of the pressure

$$P_e = P_{\perp e} + P_{\|e} = \frac{\left(3\pi^2\right)^{2/3}\hbar^2 n_e^{5/3}}{5m_e} \tag{21}$$

To summarize, we have studied the thermodynamic properties of a magnetized Fermi gas in the non-relativistic limit deriving general expressions of the perpendicular and parallel components of the pressure for an arbitrary magnetic fields. Contrary to a false belief of Canuto and Chiu, who have investigated properties of high-density matter in intense magnetic fields, that the perpendicular pressure of the Fermi gas becomes zero at the Landau ground state, $l=0$ and $s=-1/2$, and the Fermi gas becomes exactly a one-dimensional gas, we have demonstrated that at the Landau ground state the perpendicular component of the pressure is not zero and hence, the Fermi gas remains exactly three-dimensional in any magnetic field. In addition, various regimes of anisotropy of the pressure via the magnetic fields are identified in our numerical analysis. The results of the present paper offer the prospect of vast number investigations revisiting the papers that have used incorrect assumptions of Canuto and Chiu.

Acknowledgments

N.L.T. and K.I.S. would like to acknowledge the partial support of GNSF Grant Project No. FR/101/6-140/13.

1.S.L.Shapiro and S.A.Teukovsky, *Black Holes, White Dwarfs, and Neutron Stars* (John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1983).
2.H.Y.Chiu and V.Canuto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **21**, 110 (1968).
3.V.Canuto and H.Y.Chiu, Phys. Rev. **173**, 1220 (1968).
4.V.Canuto and H.Y.Chiu, Space Sci. Rev. **12**, 3 (1971).
5.P.Haensel, A.Y.Potekhin and D.G.Yakovlev, *Neutron stars 1. Equation of State and Structure* (Springer Science+Business, LLC., 2007).
6.I.Landstreet, Phys.Rev. **153,** 1372 (1967).
7.V.M.Lipunov, *Neutron Star Astrophysics* (Nauka, Moscow, 1987).
8.S.M.Lindsay *Introduction to Nanoscience* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2010).
9.P.K.Shukla and B.Eliasson, Phys. Usp. **53**, 51 (2010).
10.N.L.Tsintsadze and L.N.Tsintsadze, Euro. Phys. Lett. **88**, 35001 (2009).
11.N.L.Tsintsadze and L.N.Tsintsadze, AIP Conf. Proc. **CP1177**, 18 (2009).
12. N.L.Tsintsadze, AIP Conf. Proc. **1306**, 75 (2010).

13.L.N.Tsintsadze and N.L.Tsintsadze, J.Plasma Phys. **76,** 403 (2010).
14.B.Eliasson, P.K.Shukla, J.Plasma Phys. **76**, 7 (2010).
15.N.L.Tsintsadze and L.N.Tsintsadze, A.Hussain and G.Murtaza, Euro. Phys. J. D **64**, 447 (2011).
16.Z.Iqbal, A.Hussain, G.Murtaza, and N.L.Tsintsadze, Phys. Plasmas **21**, 032128 (2014).
17.N.L.Tsintsadze and L.N.Tsintsadze, J.Low. Temp. Phys. **37**, 982 (2011).
18.L.N.Tsintsadze, AIP Conf. Proc. **1306**, 89 (2010).
19. H.A.Shah, M.J.Iqbal, N.Tsintsadze, W.Masood, and M.N.S.Qureshi, Phys. Plasmas **19**, 092304 (2012).
20.N.L.Tsintsadze,H.A.Shah, M.N.S.Qureshi, and M.N.Tagviashvili, Phys. Plasmas **22**, 022303 (2015).
21.W.J.de Hass, P.M.van Alphen, Proc. Netherlands Roy. Acad. Sci. **33**, 1106 (1930).
22.L.W.Shubnikov, W.J.de Hass, Proc. Netherlands Roy. Acad. Sci. **33**, 130 (1930).
23.N.L.Tsintsadze and L.N.Tsintsadze, Euro. Phys. J. D **68**, 117 (2014).
24.L.D.Landau and E.M.Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics* (TTL, Moscow, 1948).
25. L.D.Landau and E.M.Lifshitz, *Statistical Physics*, part 1, (Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 1998).
26.Don C.Kelly, Phys. Rev. **134**, A647 (1964).
27.P.E.Zilberman, Sov.J.Solid State Physics **12**, 1697 (1970).
28.I.S.Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series and products* (Academic Press, 2000).


$p_\perp / p_{0\perp}$
2.5
2.0
1.5
1.0
0.0
0.5
η_{00}
1.0
1.5
2.0
η_0


p||/p0||
1.5
1.0
0.5
0.0
0.0
0.5
η00
1.0
1.5
2.0
η0